



Undergrounding for Visual Amenity

scheme selection and design process



UNDERGROUNDING FOR VISUAL AMENITY

Contents

1.	Executive summary					
2.	-					
3.	-					
	3.1	Assistance offered to regional partners	4			
4.	Process					
	4.1	Identifying regional partners	5			
	4.2	Identifying potential sites	5			
	4.3	Assessing potential sites	6			
5 .	Con	ntacts	8			



Cover illustration: Beacon Fell, Forest of Bowland AONB

This page : Bela Bridge, Arnside & Silverdale AONB

1. Executive summary

Since the inception of the undergrounding for visual amenity programme in 2005, we have been keen to support its implementation and have worked with regional partners to ensure its success.

The programme is fundamentally based on the wishes and priorities of representatives of the Designated Areas within our region. As such, we have always striven to make it easy for our partners to access the information and expertise they need in order to make informed choices regarding priorities for undergrounding. We intend to maintain and build on this commitment in the RIIO-ED1 period (2015-2023) such that stakeholders can interact with us in a constructive manner, consistent with our aspiration to fully spend our programme entitlement in the period.

This document sets out our process for scheme selection and design, and updates the previous version of this process published as part of our RIIO-ED1 submission in July 2013.

The following sections detail our current process for reviewing and implementing proposed sites for undergrounding, together with the types of assistance that we will continue to make available to our partners.

2. Background

The Undergrounding for Visual Amenity (UVA) programme was established in 2005 as part of the DPCR4 (2005-2010) price control review and continued into the DPCR5 (2010-2015) period. Under the scheme, Distribution Network Operators (DNOs) such as Electricity North West are entitled to recover expenditure incurred on undergrounding overhead lines in National Parks and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONBs) (collectively known as 'Designated Areas'). Each DNO has a maximum entitlement based on the relative length of line it has in qualifying areas.

Participation in the scheme is voluntary, hence funding is not granted in the form of up-front allowances, but recompensed after the work has taken place.

We have three National Parks and four Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty either wholly or partially within our region. The lengths of overhead line within each area as at 31 March 2015 were as follows:

	Overhead line length (km)					
	LV	HV	33kV	132kV	Total	%
Arnside & Silverdale	27.7	43.8	10.2	0.0	81.7	3%
Forest of Bowland	109.2	510.0	35.9	6.8	661.9	21%
North Pennines	39.7	182.6	14.9	0.0	237.2	7%
Solway Coast	18.3	70.2	2.1	6.3	96.9	3%
Lake District	213.2	1088.0	112.9	97.2	1,511.3	48%
Peak District	59.5	212.1	10.7	2.6	284.8	9%
Yorkshire Dales	41.7	249.7	14.7	0.0	306.0	10%
	509.2	2,356.4	201.3	112.9	3,179.8	

Initial allocations by area are based on a simple pro rata of the total entitlement by the relative proportions above.

Priorities for undergrounding sites are suggested by our regional stakeholder parties, assessed using the process outlined in this document and, where viable, converted into construction schemes and implemented.

3. Programme co-ordination

We host regular regional steering group meetings at our offices in Preston where we discuss areas of mutual interest, review progress of individual projects and the programme as a whole and address any issues arising.

By meeting in this way, the group is able to monitor the criteria used for prioritising lines for undergrounding and promote consistency across the programme. In addition, the group reviews national developments, monitors approaches used in other areas and discusses appropriate regulatory support.

An annual stakeholder meeting is hosted to review the overall programme, future priorities and policy developments in this area.

3.1 Assistance offered to regional partners

We are aware that many of the partner organisations are under extreme financial and resource pressure and aim to facilitate the programme as best we can.

In terms of the identification of sites, where requested we provide detailed, marked up maps in either electronic or hard copy form for survey purposes. This eases the survey process for the partners and enables us to assimilate the results more easily.

We have a number of skilled project managers who maintain day-to-day liaison with partners as appropriate.

Our project managers and Wayleave officers are on hand to advise on any likely issues relating to specific sites and often meet area representatives on site as part of the survey process.

These project managers also provide 'hands-on' assistance for the partners in the form of training for assessment volunteers and representation to local communities e.g. at Parish Council meetings, to explain details of the work proposed.

When projects progress to construction, we assist with facilities such as press & public relations, photographic recording etc., as well as liaising with and co-ordinating any other relevant experts and stakeholders (e.g. archaeologists, the Environment Agency and local councils).

At project completion we co-ordinate press releases and media opportunities and provide support as appropriate to our partner organisations to publicise the benefits of the work carried out.

Where a partner identifies a requirement for funded external support, this can be facilitated subject to appropriate governance arrangements being proposed, with the resulting funding being deducted from the allocation to the area requesting the support.

4. Process

4.1 Identifying regional partners

There are a number of organisations with interest in and responsibility for issues within designated areas. To enable a clear process of identification, prioritisation and implementation, we formed a steering group with representatives of the National Park Authorities (NPA) and AONB partnerships as the organisations with the clearest accountability for issues within the Designated Areas. Two of these partners (Lake District and Peak District National Park Authorities) agreed that the Friends volunteer organisations associated with their areas would be included and hence a regional steering group of nine organisations and ourselves was formed.

The members of the Steering Group and their contact details are as follows;

Partner body	Area	Contact		
The Arnside and Silverdale AONB Partnership	Arnside and Silverdale AONB	info@arnsidesilverdaleaonb.org.uk		
Forest of Bowland AONB Partnership	Forest of Bowland AONB	bowland@lancashire.gov.uk		
Lake District NPA	Lake District NP	hq@lakedistrict.gov.uk		
Friends of the Lake District		info@fld.org.uk		
North Pennines AONB Partnership	North Pennines AONB	info@northpenninesaonb.org.uk		
Peak District NPA	Peak District NP	customer.service@peakdistrict.gov.uk		
Friends of the Peak District		mail@friendsofthepeak.org.uk		
Solway Coast AONB Partnership	Solway Coast AONB	info@solwaycoastaonb.org.uk.		
Yorkshire Dales NPA	Yorkshire Dales NP	info@yorkshiredales.org.uk.		

We arrange and host regular meetings of this group to discuss progress on specific projects, programme priorities and any other issues arising, including policy developments and publicity.

If other individuals or organisations wish to make representations to us regarding specific localities, they are encouraged to liaise with the relevant NPA or AONB partnership organisation in the first instance.

4.2 Identifying potential sites

As an initial planning assumption, we pro-rate the entitlement in line with the relative length of line in each area. The partner organisations use this as their planning assumption and work out priorities within an overall funding constraint. Where appropriate, the Steering Group can agree the re-allocation of funds between areas on a consensual basis.

In terms of identifying specific potential sites, we rely on the priorities identified by the programme partners. To ensure the most effective use of their time and resources, we provide maps relating to any identified areas of interest showing our existing network. These can then be used in conjunction with standard assessment forms which have been developed in conjunction with our partners to 'score' potential sites.

Where there are areas which are likely to be automatically rejected (eg recently installed lines, areas of granite bedrock or of special engineering difficulty, these are highlighted in order to prevent abortive survey work.

Following assessment, either by their own staff or volunteers, the partners send proposed sites to us for review.

4.3 Assessing potential sites

Where possible, we look to progress the proposals submitted by the programme partners. We do not form a view on the relative visual amenity benefit of schemes, only look to see if there are any issues which may cause excessive cost, delay or disruption to scheme implementation.

When we receive requests from the partners, we assess lines for suitability for undergrounding based on the following criteria;

- Date of installation or major refurbishment of the line
- Any particular engineering difficulties to be overcome
- Land accessibility
- Areas of environmental or wildlife significance
- Archaeological sites
- Known wayleave issues
- Suitable routes for underground cable

Lines built or refurbished within the last 15 years are normally rejected for undergrounding due to the cost of writing off relatively new assets. However, where the majority of the line is greater than 15 years old or the line in question is particularly visually intrusive, we may agree to underground some or all of the line.

Where significant examples of the following issues are identified when a line is assessed for undergrounding, it is likely that the line will be deemed unsuitable to progress for undergrounding:

- Engineering difficulties e.g. large amounts of rock in the area, major river crossings along the proposed underground cable route, road or rail closures to lay cable
- Land access problems
- Areas of particular environmental or wildlife significance
- Archaeological sites
- Known wayleaves issues
- No suitable routes for replacement underground cable

We leave the trade-off of cost versus length undergrounded to the partners. For example, if an area wished to spend all its entitlement on one specific, expensive scheme due to its perception of the environmental benefit, we would progress it subject to there being no insuperable construction, consent or engineering difficulties.

Following assessment and consideration of the various factors identified, we will identify to the partner organisation whether there are any reasons why the project is unsuitable for undergrounding. We will also supply an indicative cost to allow the partner to prioritise the project within their overall programme, taking into account the length of line to be undergrounded and the length of cable to be laid to replace the overhead lines

If the partner wishes to progress an individual project, they give us formal agreement for us to proceed with the project.

This process is summarised below;

National Parks and AONBs identify overhead lines which are to be prioritised and considered for undergrounding by Electricity North West (ENWL).

Schemes are prioritised by NPs and AONBs using the Visual Amenity Impact Assessment form.



The relevant NP/AONB Project Officer completes a Visual Amenity Impact Assessment form for each proposed UVA scheme together with identifying any archaeological, ecological, public access or other constraints which may be present.



Visual Amenity Impact Assessment forms and constraints information is emailed to the relevant ENWL engineer.

(<u>bryan.jenkinson@enwl.co.uk</u> or <u>anthony.coughlan@enwl.co.uk</u>).



ENWL engineers undertake on site feasibility studies on overhead lines requested.



Following from on site feasibility studies, Design Engineers will initially check for Statutory Protected Sites of Ecological and Historic Importance and agri-environment schemes within vicinity of proposed schemes which may affect the viability of the scheme.



Designers finalise design proposals and agree with the relevant NP/AONB Project Officer which schemes are to be progressed for detailed design.



Once approved for inclusion within the programme, ENWL design engineers will complete an initial Investment Category Evaluation (ICE) form and progress with a detailed design of the section of overhead line.



On completion of detailed design, a scheme design plan will be forwarded to the relevant Estates and Wayleaves office in order to gain agreements for the proposals on the design plan.



Upon receipt of wayleave release for construction, ENWL design engineers will complete financial approval paperwork and forward to relevant manager for financial approval.



Once approved, the relevant UVA scheme will be forwarded to the appropriate manager (Circuits) for release to construction.



Should the UVA scheme be cancelled, all abortive costs associated with the UVA scheme will be summarised and journalled within ENWL's financial system onto the appropriate AONB/NP's funding allocation.



The ENWL or Contract Construction Engineer will inform the relevant NP or AONB and the ENWL press office in regard to the likely timing of the construction works.



Overhead line undergrounded and recorded on the ENWL asset register and relevant outputs reported to Ofgem.

5. Contacts

For further information on the Undergrounding for Visual Amenity process, please contact;

Ian Fenton
Asset Investment Engineer
Electricity North West Limited
Hartington Road
Preston
PR1 8PP

lan.fenton@enwl.co.uk

08433 113974