Celectricity

Bringing energy to your door

表目示在

BiTraDER Workshop

9th February 2023

Stay connected... f 🛅 💿 in www.enwl.co.uk



| Μ | eet the Team | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Felectricity Derth west Bringing energy to your door | ELECTRON | | ⁺LCP Delta | | |
| | Project lead, integration with real time systems and trial management | Development of the market trading platform and trial management | Development of the market trading rules and assessment of the business case | Management of the customer engagement | | |
| | Geraldine Paterson Liz Pattison Keith Evans Ben Ingham | Emilis Srage Nicola Waters | Stephen Woodhouse Simon Bradbury Gauthier LeTraon Katie Potter | Oliver McHugh Alice Cheetham Laurence Robinson | | |

Felectricity

Bringing energy to your door

表目示在

Innovation at ENWL

Stay connected... f m o in www.enwl.co.uk





| Inno | vation funding | | 黄 田 - 黄 - 黄 | | | |
|------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | RIIO-ED1 (2 | 015 – 2023) | RIIO-ED2 (2023 – 2028) | | | |
| | Network Innovation Allowance | Network Innovation Competition | Network Innovation Allowance | Strategic Innovation Fund | | |
| | Annual "use it or lose it" allowance | Central fund for big projects Annual Competition | 3 year "use it or lost it" allowance Focus on DSO transition and customer vulnerability | Challenges to be set by Ofgem Bids to be submitted to address challenge | | |
| | | | | | | |



Flexibility – The Big Picture

Stay connected... f m o in www.enwl.co.uk

Celectricity

liest

ΠΟΓ

表目示在









Flexibility Definitions

There are three types of Flexibility which ENWL use:



寮閳

_ 串





Flexible connections controllable by ENWL

- All connections to the network ultimately will have a level where they may be curtailed or disconnected from the network.
- The requirements for curtailment can be cause by a number of factors: Faults, Maintenance, Transmission Constraints, thermal overloads, voltage issues, high fault levels, safety requirement etc....
- A customers level of risk to curtailment is generally defined by the "security of supply" they have agreed to.

Within BiTrader we refer to connections being:

Curtailable - under system normal conditions:

A connection where the agreement is that the sites import or export can be reduced even when the network is operating within its normal running state/healthy operating conditions. Generally constraints will occur during peak generation or peak demand periods. These connections will also be curtailed under system abnormal conditions.

These have a high likelihood and frequency of being curtailed multiple times per year; especially within peak demand and generation periods.

Non-curtailable - under system normal conditions

These connections will not be curtailed in the event that the network is operating within its normal running state/healthy operating conditions. These connections however could be curtailed/disconnected in the event of abnormal running conditions.

These connections have a lower likelihood of being curtailed 17

17



MOM & ANM

<u>ANM</u>

- ENWL are implementing an Active Network Management System (ANM) in order to automate some network control aspects of
 operating the network Akin to autopilot on an aeroplane.
- Active Network Management (ANM) connects separate components of a smart grid such as generators, storage devices, controllable demands etc., by implementing software to monitor and control the operation of these devices.

寮閳

19

19

MOM

- An external component to the ENWL Active Network Management system is the Merit Order Management (MOM) system.
- This system holds contractual data for all flexible connections and flexibility services, which the ANM system can send dispatch signals to request a change in the site's import or export.
- The MOM system will send a merit order list to the ANM system on a regular basis which determines the order in which flexible resources are to be dispatched when the ANM system has detected a network constraint.
- When we implement ANM; we will publish a methodology statement describing how the ANM system executes the merit order, dictated by the MOM system. In RIIO-ED2 this statement will be reviewed annually with stakeholders and updated as required.
- A customer has to be registered in MOM and appear on the Merit Order list, to be controllable by ANM

Merit order list creation **Curtailment Index stacking for** Flexible resources within the merit order list Category flexible connections Network status Curtailment Order System normal Unfirm and constrained 1 connections for normal running (system normal) arrangements (N-0) Gen 9 Pre-fault flexible services Increasing risk of curtailment (Sustain, and Secure) Gen 8 8% N-1 Unfirm connections for any **Dispatch Order** 2 System Gen 7 6% abnormal, N-1 abnormal running conditions Gen 6 5% Post fault services for N-1 Gen 5 3% conditions (Dynamic, Restore) Firm connections for first 3 System Gen 4 1% abnormal, N-2 abnormal running condition (N-2) Gen 3 0% Post fault services for N-2 conditions (Dynamic, Restore) Gen 2 0% Firm connections for second 4 System Gen 1 0% abnormal, N-3 abnormal running condition (N-3) Post fault services for N-3 conditions (Dynamic, Restore) 20

20





Today the challenges were facing/seeing are

- customers are reluctant to accept a non-firm or flexible connection because the risk of curtailment can undermine the business case for the connected asset
- Existing "firm" customers are also reluctant to offer flexible services due to long-term commitment required and may prefer arrangements where they can participate on an 'ad hoc' basis
- Lack of competition and market liquidity means there are higher costs for flexible services which everyone pays for
- Because of the current approach to flexibility, we've seen some operational and contractual conflicts between DSO and ESO, where we could be working better together
- There's also no current method to optimize the stack dynamically

BiTraDER's aims are to:

- Investigate, develop and trial an innovative method enabling peer-to-peer trading of curtailment obligations
- Reduce barriers for the uptake of RES and choice for connected customers (to de-risk their connection and opportunity for new revenue streams)
- Introduce new sources of flexibility and Encourage use of flexibility, promoting an increasingly important feature of network operations and reduce whole system costs — projects like BiTraDER are important to develop liquid flexibility market
- Enable DNOs to meet the challenge of net zero, making flexible connections more attractive by offering more choice, and therefore avoiding carbon intensive reinforcement associated with traditional firm connections

*Access SCR – everyone will have either an interim or permanent flexible connection/non-firm connection therefore we don't see the decision having a material impact on the project and that there is still a place for this in the smart flexible network of the future. – even with the decision on the Access Significant Code Review, we still anticipate customers taking on flexible or non-firm connections as the low cost choice, or even on an interim basis as traditional reinforcement can take many years to complete.















- Looking from the network point of view, there are different types of connection with a simplification between curtailable and non-curtailable
- All the connections are being registered in what we call a merit order list where the curtailable connection would sit at the top and non curtailable at the bottom
- Here is an example with relatively few parties for simplicity but it would normally include much more parties
- Lookahead on the ahead network, we can foresee that there would be potentially a constraint on the network
- This merit order list is then used to understand who would be curtailed to resolve that constraint
- In that example of an excess generation on the net work, we can anticipate that some parties might be curtailed, in that example A, B and C
- To resolve the constraint, generation export must be reduced. Based on the administered merit order, curtailing Parties A, B and C should be enough to resolve the constraint. Under normal circumstances, Parties E and F will not be involved as they are non-curtailable customers but Party D could be curtailed if the constraint is worse than expected



- Taking the previous example we can see that we currently curtail in priority the generation with curtailable connection which is in this case renewables
- With the BiTrader, platform, we could change the outcome; instead of party A and C curtailed, you could have party E a gas generator curtailed, and party F a EV demand turn up increasing its demand
- Different benefits Better use of the low carbon resources, avoided carbon emissions from the gas generator, used energy that would be waster to charge EV for instance
 - Makes it more attractive for everyone
 - Non curtailable: extra money and potentially complement their supply
 - Curtailable: Avoid curtailment and make the curtailable connection more attractive
 - Overall, that also creates much more flexibility and less need of infrastructure





In case of excess generation, we expect the buyer to main being the curtailable connection, from the seller point of view for the moment, we include parties that will actually be able to help

Two sorts: the generation with non-curtailable connection like the gas generator in our example, or the other category is someone providing demand turn up

In case of excess demand, similar picture with the buyer being demand with curtailable connection and from the seller point of view, generator that can increase their generation or demand with a non-curtailable connection that can reduce their demand.



In terms of the actual trade, this includes changing position in the merit order

In that example we have B that is willing to trade off his curtailment obligation as it's a wind generator that doesn't want to get curtailed tomorrow

From the seller point of view, there is F that is willing to get paid to increase its demand What happens here is a reshuffle of the merit order, our current choice of design is that the seller goes to the top so it would be the first one in line

The buyer goes at the bottom of the curtailable connection just above the non curtailable connection in what we call the last resort zone

Effectively after the trade if there is need to be three parties to be curtailed, it would have been initially A, B and C but now it's F, A and C and B is effectively of the hook



Looking from the timing perspective, we can distinguish two main categories, what happens from the merit order side in brown and from a trading side in blue at the bottom; in green in the middle is the timeline to indicate the potential. Example of a trade for tomorrow Friday;

First there would be a registration process in advance to be sure that you are eligible and registered in the merit order.

In the Day-1, let's say Thursday, first there would be an update of the merit order based on what happened the previous day, then there would a look ahead performed for tomorrow Friday to understand what could be the potential constraints,

This would then be communicated to participants after they already participated in the day ahead auction

Based on the information of the constraints, the participants would decide or not to participate in the BiTrader; there would be collection of the bids and offers of the participants here depicted as a trading window where they can decide for the 24 of tomorrow Friday their different bids and offer

After collecting all the information, a single trading auction would happen which would decide who trade with who for the different trading periods, this would then feed in the

merit order which would be reshuffled based on the trade.

When comes the day Friday then and if a real time constraint, the DNO would act based on the new merit order where typically the sellers would be at the top of the merit order and curtailed in priority,

Then comes the end of the day where we can understand who has been curtailed for how long to understand for the payment etc and update the curtailment index of the different parties which feeds into the merit order,

The key steps:

- Look ahead of the constraints
- Communication to the participants
- Decisions of participating in the auction after the day ahead, bids and offer with a single auction
- New merit order based on the trade which is the one use for the constraints happening on the day
- Settlement at the end of the day





Worked example background

- The left-hand side shows a constrained part of the network
 - A, B and C have curtailable export connections and so will be first on the 'merit order' to be curtailed
 - D and E have non-curtailable export connections and so will be below A, B and C in the 'merit order'
 - F is flexible demand that can turn demand up when a constraint occurs
- The ANM system identifies a constraint of 3MW at the day ahead stage on this part of the network
- Under the status quo, this would mean that A, B and C would be curtailed



This example is an excess generation constraint:

- Eligible buyers are those with a curtailable connection:
 - A, B and C
- Eligible sellers are:
 - Generation with a non-curtailable connection or;
 - D and E
 - Demand turn-up
 - F



Current thinking:

- Market will be based on utilisation payments
- Settlement will be based on a pay as cleared market
- Bids and offers will be anonymous, and clearing will occur via a day ahead auction

In this example:

- At the day-ahead stage, eligible buyers and sellers can submit utilisation bids and offers to participate in BiTrader
- In this example, 3 Buyers have submitted bids:
 - A enters a bid of £10/MW/h
 - B enters a bid of £60/MW/h
 - C enters a bid of £50/MW/h
- 2 sellers have submitted bids:
 - D has chosen not to bid (and therefore not participate in the market)
 - E enters an offer of £40/MW/h
 - F enters an offer of £5/MW/h

Trade clearing (RHS graph):

- To create a demand curve, the buyer's bids are stacked from high to low
- To create a supply curve, the seller's offers are stacked from low to high

- Where the supply and demand curve cross is the clearing for the market.
- In terms of capacity, this occurs at 2MW:
 - Buyers: B and C clear, whereas A does not
 - Sellers: E & F clear
- Because of the discrete nature of the bids, the settlement price could be anywhere between 40 and 50 £/MW/h
 - Here we have assumed an average of 40 and 50 (£45/MW/h) but this is arbitrary
- As the market is a pay as clear market, this clearing price is used in settlement for all participants



Current thinking:

- Successful sellers will go to the top of the merit order, ordered by utilisation bid (lowest first)
- Successful buyers will move below all other curtailable connections but above noncurtailable connections, ordered by utilisation bid (lowest first)

In this example:

- E & F were both successful buyers so will move to the top of the merit order
 - F will be ahead of E (i.e. first to be curtailed) as it submitted the lower utilisation bid
- C & B were both successful sellers so will move below all other curtailable connections in the merit order
 - These customers shouldn't get curtailed unless the parties above in the merit order are ineligible for curtailment
 - B will be below C as it submitted the higher bid
- A was not successful in trading, so remains in between the sellers and the buyers, and may still be curtailed
- D is non curtailable, and did not participate in the market so will remain at the bottom of the merit order

| worked example Real-time payments | & post settlement – curtailme are made based on trades | ent based on ne | w, traded merit | order, |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| 6. CURTAILMENT | BASED ON TRADED MERIT ORDER | 7. POST REAL-TIME SETTI | EMENT | |
| | Successful sellers | Pays (£45/MW/h) | Paid (£45/MW/h) | |
| | | <u>щ</u> с | F F | |
| | 🚔 E 🗱 | аран в | Print E | |
| | Curtailable | | | |
| OM I | 48 A 🗱 | | | |
| Iradec | Successful buyers | Curtailment index updated | Avoided curtailment | |
| F | ∰ c | ∰ с | Щ с | |
| | <u>ар в</u> | В | В | |
| | Non curtailable stack | A 4 | | |
| | ∰ D | | | |
| 40 02/09/2023 COPYRIGHT ÅF PÖ1 | VRY AB EITRADER CUSTOMER WORKSHOP | | | |

Current thinking:

- During the settlement period, curtailment will be based on the new traded merit order
- Buyers will pay the clearing price if the Seller is curtailed, and Seller's will receive the clearing price payment
- Buyers will have their curtailment index updated if their matched Seller is curtailed (as if the Buyer had been curtailed)

In this example

- The depth of curtailment is as expected 3MW
- F, E and A are therefore curtailed
- C pays F the clearing price, and B pays E the clearing price
- C and B get their curtailment index updated
- A also gets their curtailment index updated as they were curtailed (and had not sold their way into the curtailment)







QUESTIONS

Some questions would be useful to understand from our side

POTENTIAL INITIAL SET OF QUESTIONS

- With the current design presented, what major roadblocks do you foresee in order to participate?
- What other key inputs would you need in order to make a decision?
- If there was a single auction on the day ahead, would your preference be to have it before or after the day ahead?
- Does an hour settlement period seem reasonable to you?
- Are there major issues associated with a utilisation payment only?
- Do you have any preferences between pay as bid or pay as clear?

44 02/09/2023 | COPYRIGHT ÅF PÖYRY AB | BITRADER CUSTOMER WORKSHOP

AFRY

ELECTRUN

Platform Functionality







<text>

www.enwl.co.vk



| BiTraDER – Overall project plan and deliverables | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------|--------|-----------|----------|---|--|--|
| Workstream | Tasks | 2021 2 | 022 20 | 23 2024 2 | 025 2026 | | | |
| Project Mobilisation | | - | | | | | Deliverables | |
| Customer | Customer Impact | | • | | | _ | Denverables | |
| | Customer Engagement | | _ | | _ | 1 | BiTraDER Initial Report – Customer Engagement and Scenarios | |
| | Scenario Planning | | - | | | | | |
| | Trading Rules R&D | | - | • | | 2 | BiTraDER Trials Plan, Trading Rules and Initial Specification Report | |
| Design | Trading Rules Platform Design | | - | | | 3 | BiTraDER Interim Report – Trading Platform Design | |
| | Site Selection & Trial Design | | _ | • | | | | |
| | Data Model | | | - | | 4 | BiTraDER Architecture Build Lessons Learned Report | |
| | Interface to ENWL System | | _ | | | | | |
| | Application Development | | | _ | | 5 | BiTraDER Simulation Trials Report | |
| Build | Interface to ENWL System | | | | | 6 | DiTraDEP Notwork Trials Papart | |
| | Application Integration | | | _ | | 0 | | |
| Trials & | Simulation Trials | | | | | 7 | BiTraDER Functional Specification | |
| Analysis | Network Trials | | | | | | | |
| Closedown & | Functional Specification for BiTraDER | | | - | _ | 8 | BiTraDER Final Report | |
| BAU transition | Closedown | | | | - | 9 | Knowledge Transfer | |
| | BaU Transition | | | | - | | Ŭ | |
| Deliverables | | | 1 | 2 3 4 5 | 678 | | | |
| Learning & Dissemination | | | 1 | | • • | | 51 | |



