Technical Limits







- Customers wishing to connect to a GSP are currently categorised by the Transmission System Operator (ESO) in what is known as the Appendix G (App G)
- The App G is an annex to the Bilateral Connection Agreement (BCA) between the DNO and the ESO for each GSP
- The categories are:
 - Part 1 Already connected DER before App G
 - Part 2 DER that can connect
 - Part 3 DER that can connect with Transmission circuit restrictions under N-1 scenario
 - Part 4 DER that can't connect until Transmission works are completed
- Currently some customers in Part 4 have connection dates that go out to 2037 owing to the wider Transmission system reinforcements needed



- Expressed in MWs, TLs represent the minimum and maximum acceptable power flow at the GSP beyond which wider Transmission system constraints could be active
- TLs are calculated by the ESO, considering all existing App G Part 1 and Part 2 DER and deducting the GSP minimum demand to identify net power flows on the wider Transmission system
- Should a qualifying customer choose, TLs will allow the connection of Part 4 DER ahead of wider Transmission system reinforcements
- The connection would be **non-firm** and **uncompensated**
- The DNO will manage the Part 4 DER such that the TLs are not breached
- However, should the TL be breached, the DNO would curtail/interrupt relevant DER
- For ENWL the TLs will be controlled by its Active Network Management (ANM) software

Implementation of Technical Limits





- November 2023
 - Phase 1a Sole use GSPs with Fault level headroom >1kA and Part 4 customers
 - There are five GSPs that meets these criteria:
 - Bredbury, Kearsley, South Manchester, Penwortham and Kirkby
 - Phase 1b Sole use GSPs with fault level headroom <1kA and Part 4 customers
 - There are no GSPs that meets these criteria
- April 2024 onwards
 - Phase 2 Complex sites (more than one User at the site) with Part 4 customers
 - There are five GSPs
 - Padiham, Rochdale, Stalybridge, Washway Farm and Whitegate
 - Three sites fall all have fault level limitations
 - TL will be available once the substation SGTs/fault level reinforcement works are done:
 - Harker, Hutton, Heysham.

GSP Name	Transmission Constraints (Y/N)	TECHNICAL LIMITS IMPLEMENTATION PHASE	
Bredbury	Y	Phase 1a - Nov 23 Notification	
Kearsley & Kearsley Local	Y	Phase 1a - Nov 23 Notification	
Kirkby	Y	Phase 1a - Nov 23 Notification	
Penwortham	Y	Phase 1a - Nov 23 Notification	
South Manchester	Y	Phase 1a - Nov 23 Notification	
Paddiham	Y	Phase 2 - Apr 24 Notification	
Rochdale	Y	Phase 2 - Apr 24 Notification	
Stalybridge	Y	Phase 2 - Apr 24 Notification	
Washway Farm	Y	Phase 2 - Apr 24 Notification	
Whitegate	Y	Phase 2 - Apr 24 Notification	
Harker	Y	Potentially future phase	
Hutton	Y	Potentially future phase	
Heysham	Y	Potentially future phase	
Carrington	N	Currently No Part 4 Schemes	
Macclesfield	N	Currently No Part 4 Schemes	
Stanah	N	Currently No Part 4 Schemes	
Warrington / Fiddlers Ferry (Risley 11kV)		SPEN GSP	
Rainhill / Bold (Golborne)		SPEN GSP	

 Table showing the planned roll out across all our GSPs

X



- We will manage Technical Limits through our Active Network Management (ANM) system
 - We expect to begin commissioning Technical Limits late 2024/early 2025
 - Ahead of commissioning of the Technical Limits, we will work with the ESO and NGET to ensure that the ANM solution meets all their requirements
- If TLs are breached, we will use our Merit Order Management (MOM) software to curtail Part 4 DER.
 - To ensure a more equitable approach to curtailment, we aim to use a curtailment index rather than 'lastin/first-off'
- Some of our networks are formed of two or more GSP 'groups' that operate in complex arrangements, eg Penwortham.
 - We're working with our ANM provider to understand how we'll configure Technical Limits on these networks
- Inter-control room communications protocol (ICCP) is a digital link between ENW and the ESO and provides 'control and visibility' between the two control rooms. The ESO has made control and visibility a requirement of TLs.
 - We will start working with the ESO to introduce ICCP functionality in the new year

Curtailment





- The ENWL Active Network Management System is continuously monitoring SCADA measurement points across the Network
- When it detects a constraint on the network, signified by a SCADA measurement exceeding pre-set thresholds, it will look to resolve that constraint utilising Flexible Connections, Services, and Assets.
- ANM will utilise a Pre-defined stack of Flexible connections and services to define the order in which DERs are dispatched/curtailed. This is called the Merit Order.
- Current common UK methodologies for merit orders generally either follow a Last in First off ('LIFO')approach, or a Curtailment index methodology.
- ANM will only select DERs from the list which it identifies can help to resolve the constraint.



- With the LIFO methodology, the DER which was the last to connect to the network would always be the first to be curtailed in the event of a network constraint.
- This methodology is better for DERs who can mobilise faster to get connected, however negatively impacts customers who might have more complicated connections.
- This also means that for the lifetime of the Flexible Contract ("Part 4" limits) will mean they are always the first to be curtailed.



Curtailment Index value = $\frac{Curtailment throughout the year so far (MWh)}{Curtialment allowace for the year (MWh)}$

- The curtailment index methodology means that when a DER is curtailed the curtailment index value is recalculated.
- Whichever DER has the highest amount of remaining curtailment allowance (proportional to the level of allowance at the start of the year) is the first to be curtailed.
- The curtailment index methodology allows for a fair allocation of curtailment across a stack of DER. Curtailment is equitably shared over the period of a year between the DERs held within the stack.

• Customers are not negatively impacted by being the slowest to connect.



Curtailment modelling









• This shows the net demand profile for Bredbury GSP during 2022/23

Bredbury GSP - Typical year with Technical Limits



- The change in approach is that the ESO is providing an operating envelope for the maximum import and export at each GSP
- The DNO just needs to ensure that it can operate within that window
- The headroom varies depending on month/time of day but the minimum headroom is shown with the red arrow
- This headroom will be made available to all Part 4 projects to connect

Bredbury GSP - Typical year with consented connections added



- This shows the net demand profile for Bredbury GSP during 2022/23
- When all the App G Part 2 not yet connected BESS is added (orange) most of the available capacity headroom is used up
- Any other DER wishing to connect needs to wait until extra Transmission capacity has been added (Part 4)

Bredbury GSP - Typical day



- Over 100MW headroom available all throughout a typical day
- Headroom increases to 200MW at the teatime peak



Technical Limits implementation – Padiham GSP (proposed)



- Already connected:
 - 8 MW BESS
 - 38.2 MW Fossil gas/Oil
- Yet to connect:
 - 2.9 MW PV
 - 86 MW Fossil Gas/Waste
- Delayed Projects (Proposed Part 4):
 - 99.9MW Wind
 - 4MW Wind
 - 45 MW BESS
 - 35 MW PV
 - 26MW PV
- About 80 MW available headroom which will be available to all projects not yet connected

Technical Limits implementation – Rochdale GSP (proposed)





- Already connected:
 - 4.5 MW Solar
 - 51.2 MW Fossil gas/Oil
- Yet to connect:
 - 153 MW BESS
 - 1MW PV
 - 58.8MW Fossil Gas
- Delayed Projects (Proposed Part 4) going through PP:
 - 285.3MW BESS
 - 20 MW PV
- About 180 MW available headroom which will be available to all projects not yet connected

Technical Limits implementation – Stalybridge GSP (proposed)





- Already connected:
 - 28MW Fossil Gas
- Yet to connect:
 - 122 MW BESS
 - 1.5MW PV
 - 85.3MW Fossil Gas/oil
- Delayed Projects (Proposed Part 4) going through PP:
 - 70MW BESS
- About 180 MW available headroom which will be available to all projects not yet connected

Technical Limits implementation – Whitegate GSP (proposed)





- Already connected:
 - 20MW BESS
 - 25.1 MW Fossil Gas/Oil
- Yet to connect:
 - 120 MW BESS
 - 1.5MW PV
 - 53.2MW Fossil Gas/Oil
- Delayed Projects (Proposed Part 4) going through PP:
 - 77MW BESS
 - 49.9 MW PV
 - 1.26MW Gas
- About 150 MW available headroom which will be available to all projects not yet connected

Technical Limits implementation – Washway Farm GSP (proposed)





- Already connected:
 - 1.3 MW Solar
 - 48 MW Fossil Gas
 - 49.9MW BESS
- Yet to connected:
 - 2 MW BESS
- Delayed Projects (Part 4):
 - 49.9 MW BESS
 - 2 MW PV
 - 85 MW BESS going through PP
- About 50 MW available headroom which will be available to all projects not yet connected

Overview of the modelling

- The modelling starts with the historic observed loading for the GSP by each half hour period
- It then applies a series of assumptions to indicate what the net impact on the GSP demand would be
- It then compares this to the Technical Limits to identify any half hour periods where the Technical Limits are breached
- Where the Technical Limit is breached it calculates the extent of the breach and thereby the likelihood of curtailment



Simplified view of the modelling



- The model looks at each half hour and takes account of the behaviour of each component
- The charts above try to illustrate the extremes
 - The Export Technical Limits will be most at risk if there are periods where all export is happening concurrently
 - The Import Technical Limit will be most at risk when generation is low and BESS is importing

Forecast net flow at GSP for each half hour of the year using the following equation :





1. Modelling assumes all Part 4 customers and those in Project Progression are connected.

- 2. Modelling applies assumptions for BESS operating and PV as shown
- 3. Modelling applies a scaling factor as shown
 - This effectively reduces the impact on the network
- You can change these assumptions based on your experience

Assumed PV Profile

Months	Mar -May	Jun- Aug	Sep - Nov	Dec- Feb
Sun Hrs	08:30 -17:00	07:30 -20:00	08:30 -17:00	09:30 -15:30

Assumed BESS Profile

6hrs daily charge (01:00- 4:00 & 13:00- 16:00) 6hrs daily discharge (06:00-09:00 & 18:00 - 21:00)

Fuel Type	Winter Peak	Access Period Peak*	Summer Min (Solar Max)	Summer Min (Solar Min)		
Solar PV	0.2	0.051	0.84	0.33		
Waste/ CHP	0.88	0.88	0.85	0.85		
Hydro	0.84	0.84	0	0		
Wind	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.1		
Other	0.65	0.65	0.3	0.3		
BESS	1	1	1	1		

Scaling factors used for calculating TLs (based on ESO values)

For solar PV, Summer (Solar Max) scaling factor assumed for Autumn and Spring months. * BESS scaling factor changed from -1(charging) to 1 (discharging) to align with assumed BESS profile

Export curtailment

- Curtailment commences at breach of 90% of export Technical Limit.
 - For example, Technical Limit =-25MW, curtailment is at over -22MW.

Import curtailment

- Curtailment commences at breach of seasonal import Technical Limit.
 - For example, Summer 200MW, Autumn/Spring 280MW & Winter 323 MW

Scenario – export example, no Part 2 connected

Typical April morning day; PV is generating and BESS is exporting with no Part 2 yet to connect customers



```
= 100.41MW- (100MW x 1)- (30MW x 1 x 0.84)
```

```
= 100.41MW - 100MW - 25.2MW
```

```
Forecast net flow (@ 8:30am) = -24.79MW( net export to Grid)
Export TL = -22MW
```

```
Curtailed capacity = -24.79 - (-22) = -2.79MW
```

Curtailed customer(s)/capacity

Based on Curtailment Index (CI) approach, depending upon stack order on the day, curtailed customers could be any of the options below to reduce by 2.79 MW:

- i. Part 4 BESS 1
- ii. Part 4 BESS 2
- iii. Part 4 PV 1

Note this is not a LIFO stack so the customer constrained today reduces their likelihood of being constrained tomorrow is the same situation arises.



Customers considered

Scenario 3 – import example, no Part 2 connected

Typical August afternoon day; PV is generating and BESS is importing with no Part 2 yet to connect customers

= Previous year HH observed flow – (BESS capacity(MW) x HH BESS profile(1,0 or -1)) – (PV capacity (MW) x PV Profile x Scaling factor) – (Other capacity (MW) x scaling factor)

```
= 137.19MW - (100MW \times -1) - (30MW \times 1 \times 0.84)
```

= 137.19MW + 100MW - 25.2MW

Forecast net flow (@ 13:00) = 211.99 (net import from Grid) Import TL = 200MW

```
Curtailed capacity = 211.19 - 200 = 11.19MW
```

Curtailed customer(s)/capacity

Based on Curtailment Index (CI) approach, depending upon stack order on the day, curtailed customers could be any of the options below to reduce by 11.19MW:

- i. Part 4 BESS 1
- ii. Part 4 BESS 2



Scenario 4 – import example, Part 2 now connected

Typical August afternoon day; PV is generating, BESS is importing and Other also generating

= Previous year HH observed flow – (BESS capacity(MW) x HH BESS profile(1,0 or -1)) – (PV capacity (MW) x PV Profile x Scaling factor) – (Other capacity (MW) x scaling factor)

= 137.19MW - (150MW x - 1) - (30MW x $1 \times 0.84) - (25.6$ MW x 0.3)

= 137.19MW + 150MW - 25.2MW - 7.68MW

Forecast net flow (@ 13:00) = 254.31 (net import from Grid) Import TL = 200MW

```
Curtailed capacity = 254.31 - 200 = 54.31MW
```

Curtailed customer(s)/capacity

Based on Curtailment Index(CI) approach, depending upon stack order on the day, curtailed customers could be any of the options below:

- i. Part 4 BESS 1 (50MW) and Part 4 BESS 2 (4.3MW)
- ii. Part 4 BESS 2 (50MW) and Part 4 BESS 1 (4.3MW)



Customers considered



- To maintain the integrity of the electricity Transmission system, the ESO mandates that the Technical Limit at each GSP shall not be breached.
 - Any breach may result in the ESO suspending use of the Technical Limit at the associated GSP.
 - Should the ESO suspend the use at a GSP, we reserve the right to suspend it for all customers connected using Technical Limits
- Should a customer fail to respond to a signal from ENWL, eg a signal sent to a customer by ENWL requiring the customer curtail its output, ENWL will suspend immediately the customer's access rights until further notice.